## MACHINE-LEARNING BASED MULTI-OMICS DATA INTEGRATION FOR PERSONALIZED ONCOLGY



Florian Buettner















• Omics profiling uncovers novel variation between patients









- Omics profiling uncovers novel variation between patients
- Latent variable models
  - Statistical tool to infer an unobserved, hidden state of a biological system based on observable data that is often high-dimensional
  - Reduce a high-dimensional dataset of correlated observations into a lowdimensional dataset of uncorrelated and interpretable latent variables







- Omics profiling uncovers novel variation between patients
- Latent variable models
  - Statistical tool to infer an unobserved, hidden state of a biological system based on observable data that is often high-dimensional
  - Reduce a high-dimensional dataset of correlated observations into a lowdimensional dataset of uncorrelated and interpretable latent variables



Buettner et al Genome Biology, 2017









#### **MULTI-OMICS FACTOR ANALYSIS**



Arguelaget, ..., Huber#/Buettner#/Stegle#, 2018









#### **MULTI-OMICS FACTOR ANALYSIS**



Arguelaget, ..., Huber#/Buettner#/Stegle#, 2018











#### **MULTI-OMICS FACTOR ANALYSIS**



Arguelaget, ..., Huber#/Buettner#/Stegle#, 2018





- Identify drivers of variation that are shared between omics layers or unique
- Model binary/count data
- Account for missing values
- Approximate Bayesian inference for scalability













- Acute myeloid leukemia (AML)
  - Treatment decisions based on genomic classification (~15 mutations)
  - Large fraction in outcome variability remains unexplained







- Acute myeloid leukemia (AML)

  - Treatment decisions based on genomic classification (~15 mutations) Large fraction in outcome variability remains unexplained
- Hypothesis
- Patient subpopulations with distinct survival profile defined by multi-ome







- Acute myeloid leukemia (AML)
  - Large fraction in outcome variability remains unexplained
  - Treatment decisions based on genomic classification (~15 mutations)
- Hypothesis
- Patient subpopulations with distinct survival profile defined by multi-ome
- Approach
  - Two independent patient cohorts
    - Discovery cohort (177 patients) and validation cohort (~70 patients), profiled with different technologies
  - Measure Common Mutations, Transcriptome (RNA), Proteome, other risk factors
  - Record survival





## **PROTEOMIC CHARACTERISATION OF PATIENTS**

- Compute patient-patient distances and use clustering approach to define patient subpopulations
- Charaterize subpopulations via pathway analysis











#### CHARACTERIZATION OF SUBPOPULATIONS

- Use pre-annotated pathways to identify biological processes driving differences between clusters
- Comparative cluster analysis
- Gene set variation analysis (GSVA)









### CHARACTERIZATION OF SUBPOPULATIONS

- Use pre-annotated pathways to identify biological processes driving differences between clusters
- Comparative cluster analysis
- Gene set variation analysis (GSVA)





- 28 factors explaining variation in the data
- Mix of shared and unique drivers
- Most dominant factor LF1 active in all 4 data views
- Inspections of loadings reveals LF1 explains known axis of HOX/NPM1



**DKTK** German Cancer Consortium







- 28 factors explaining variation in the data
- Mix of shared and unique drivers
- Most dominant factor LF1 active in all 4 data views
- Inspections of loadings reveals LF1 explains known axis of HOX/NPM1



**DKTK** German Cancer Consortium











- 28 factors explaining variation in the data
- Mix of shared and unique drivers
- Most dominant factor LF1 active in all 4 data views
- Inspections of loadings reveals LF1 explains known axis of HOX/NPM1



**DKTK** German Cancer Consortium











- 28 factors explaining variation in the data
- Mix of shared and unique drivers
- Most dominant factor LF1 active in all 4 data views
- Inspections of loadings reveals LF1 explains known axis of HOX/NPM1



**DKTK** German Cancer Consortium











- 28 factors explaining variation in the data
- Mix of shared and unique drivers
- Most dominant factor LF1 active in all 4 data views
- Inspections of loadings reveals LF1 explains known axis of HOX/NPM1



**DKTK** German Cancer Consortium





#### MOFA IDENTIFIES NEW DRIVERS OF VARIATION

- LF6 most dominant factor active in only one view (proteome)
- LF6 represents same Mito processes form cluster 1









## MOFA IDENTIFIES NEW DRIVERS OF VARIATION

- LF6 most dominant factor active in only one view (proteome)
- LF6 represents same Mito processes form cluster 1











## MOFA IDENTIFIES NEW DRIVERS OF VARIATION

- LF6 most dominant factor active in only one view (proteome)
- LF6 represents same Mito processes form cluster 1











- Clusters 1 and 5 have distance survival phenotype
- Survival for patients in cluster 1 significantly worse, for cluster 5 significantly better









- Clusters 1 and 5 have distance survival phenotype
- Survival for patients in cluster 1 significantly worse, for cluster 5 significantly better



C-Mito	Non-Mito <i>(N=152)</i>	referen	ice					
	Mito (N=25) (1	3.57 .92 - 6.6	62)				<(	0.001 *** ••••
ELN 2017	Intermediate (N=84)	, referen	ice					
	Favorable (N=57) (0	0.41 .21 - 0.8	32)	-			0.0	)11 *
	Adverse (N=36) (1	2.39 .35 - 4.2	24)			- i	0.0	003 **
Age	50–65 (N=77)	referen	ice			•		
	>65 (N=53) (1	2.09 .25 - 3.5	50)				<b>0</b> .0	005 **
	<50 (N=47) (0	0.34 .15 - 0.7	78) <b></b>				0.0	)11 *
# Events: 67; 0 AIC: 597.31: 0	Global p-value concordance In	0.1 (Log-Rai dex: 0.74	1 0 nk): 7.4	.2 <b>1917e-1</b>	0.5 0	1 2	2 5	5









- Clusters 1 and 5 have distance survival phenotype
- Survival for patients in cluster 1 significantly worse, for cluster 5 significantly better







AIC: 597.31; Concordance Index: 0.74











- Clusters 1 and 5 have distance survival phenotype
- Survival for patients in cluster 1 significantly worse, for cluster 5 significantly better







# Events: 67; Global p-value (Log-Rank): 9.25e-09 AIC: 602.65; Concordance Index: 0.73

0.1

0.2

0.5









- Clusters 1 and 5 have distance survival phenotype
- Survival for patients in cluster 1 significantly worse, for cluster 5 significantly better

**DKTK** German Cancer Consortium



GOETHE

UNIVERSITÄT

FRANKFURT AM MAIN









#### MITO CLUSTER CAN BE PREDICTED PROSPECTIVELY

- Train supervised classifier on discovery cohort
  - Identify small set of predictive proteins
- Test on validation cohort









## MITO CLUSTER CAN BE PREDICTED PROSPECTIVELY



• Test on validation cohort









## MITO CLUSTER CAN BE PREDICTED PROSPECTIVELY



• Test on validation cohort











![](_page_31_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Picture_5.jpeg)

## SUMMARY AND OUTLOOK

- Multi-omics approach discovered proteomic AML subtypes with clinical relevance
- Mito-AML is hypersensitive to drugs targeting mitochondrial complex I
- Use mito classifier to stratify patients for venetoclax-based therapies

![](_page_32_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Figure_7.jpeg)

#### LEVERAGING PRIOR INFORMATION IN MULTI-OMICS MODELLING

- Characterising factors in FA models is challenging
- Use prior knowledge from pathways already during inference
- Associate each factor to a pathway

![](_page_33_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Figure_7.jpeg)

#### LEVERAGING PRIOR INFORMATION IN MULTI-OMICS MODELLING

- Characterising factors in FA models is challenging
- Use prior knowledge from pathways already during inference
- Associate each factor to a pathway

![](_page_34_Picture_4.jpeg)

- Infer pathways driving interpatient variation
- Refine and customise pathway annotations
- Identify interpretable patient sub-populations

![](_page_34_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Figure_10.jpeg)

#### MUVI: A MULTI-VIEW LATENT VARIABLE MODEL WITH DOMAIN-INFORMED STRUCTURED SPARSITY

![](_page_35_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Figure_2.jpeg)

**DKTK** German Cancer Consortium

![](_page_35_Picture_4.jpeg)

Qoku and Buettner, in submission

![](_page_35_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Figure_7.jpeg)

#### MUVI: A MULTI-VIEW LATENT VARIABLE MODEL WITH DOMAIN-INFORMED STRUCTURED SPARSITY

![](_page_36_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Figure_2.jpeg)

**DKTK** German Cancer Consortium

Hierarchical shrinkage via horseshoe prior

![](_page_36_Picture_5.jpeg)

Qoku and Buettner, in submission

![](_page_36_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Figure_8.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Figure_9.jpeg)

#### MUVI COMBINES DOMAIN-INDUCED SPARSITY WITH LOW **RECONSTRUCTION ERROR**

- Simulate multi-view expression data with noisy pathways
- Compare MUVI to
- MOFA
- BASS (Bayesian group factor analysis with structured sparsity
- Multi-view VAE

![](_page_37_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_9.jpeg)

#### PAN-CANCER MULTI-OMICS ANALYSIS OF TCGA

- Use MuVI to analyze TCGA data
  - 4 data views (DNA methylation, mRNA expression, microRNA and reverse phase protein array (RPPA)
  - 11k patients, 33 cancer types

![](_page_38_Picture_4.jpeg)

#### Global view

![](_page_38_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Picture_8.jpeg)

#### MULTI-SCALE ANALYSIS

![](_page_39_Figure_1.jpeg)

DKTK German Cancer Consortium

![](_page_39_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Picture_0.jpeg)

 Use latent variable models to infer unobservable hidden states from observable data, taking prior knowledge into account

![](_page_41_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Figure_6.jpeg)

- observable data, taking prior knowledge into account
  - AML patients
- Software

![](_page_42_Picture_4.jpeg)

# Use latent variable models to infer unobservable hidden states from

![](_page_42_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Figure_9.jpeg)

- observable data, taking prior knowledge into account
  - AML patients
- Software
  - github.com/bioFAM

![](_page_43_Picture_5.jpeg)

# Use latent variable models to infer unobservable hidden states from

![](_page_43_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Figure_10.jpeg)

- observable data, taking prior knowledge into account
  - AML patients
- Software
  - github.com/bioFAM
- <u>https://github.com/MLO-lab/MuVI</u>

![](_page_44_Picture_6.jpeg)

# Use latent variable models to infer unobservable hidden states from

![](_page_44_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Figure_11.jpeg)

- observable data, taking prior knowledge into account
  - AML patients
- Software
  - github.com/bioFAM
  - <u>https://github.com/MLO-lab/MuVI</u>
- https://mlo-lab.github.io/

![](_page_45_Picture_7.jpeg)

# Use latent variable models to infer unobservable hidden states from

![](_page_45_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Figure_12.jpeg)

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

- Ricard Arguelaget, Oliver Stegle (EBI, Cambridge)
- Thomas Oellerich, Sebastian Wolf (Uniklinik Frankfurt)
- Matthias Mann, Ashok Jayavelu (MPI München)
- Arber Qoku, Andreas Kopf, Sebastian Gruber (MLO/DKTK, Frankfurt)

![](_page_46_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Figure_11.jpeg)